

$S_4 \times Z_2$ Flavor Symmetry in Supersymmetric Extra U(1) Model

Y. Daikoku* and H. Okada†

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan.**

Centre for Theoretical Physics, The British University in Egypt.†

June 17, 2010

Abstract

We propose a E_6 inspired supersymmetric model with a non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetry (S_4 group); that is, $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_X \times S_4 \times Z_2$. In our scenario, the additional abelian gauge symmetry; $U(1)_X$, not only solves the μ -problem in the minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model(MSSM), but also requires new exotic fields which play an important role in solving flavor puzzles. If our exotic quarks can be embedded into a S_4 triplet, which corresponds to the number of the generation, one finds that dangerous proton decay can be well-suppressed. Hence, it might be expected that the generation structure for lepton and quark in the SM(Standard Model) can be understood as a new system in order to stabilize the proton in a supersymmetric standard model (SUSY).

Moreover, due to the nature of the discrete non-Abelian symmetry itself, Yukawa coupling constants of our model are drastically reduced. In our paper, we show two predictive examples of the models for quark sector and lepton sector, respectively.

*E-mail: yasu.daikoku@yahoo.co.jp

†E-mail: HOkada@bue.edu.eg

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the standard model based on $G_{SM} = SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry is a quite promising theory to describe interactions of the particles.

However, there are unsolved or non-verifiable points enough, in particular, the followings are underlying to be clarified:

1. The electroweak symmetry breaking scale $M_W \sim 10^2$ GeV is unnaturally small in comparison with the fundamental energy scale such as Planck scale $M_P \sim 10^{18}$ GeV.
2. The number of Yukawa coupling constants is too many to give predictions of the quark and lepton mass matrices.
3. There is no understanding about the meaning of generations.

It is believed that the first point is solved by introducing SUSY [1], but there is still naturalness problem in the MSSM. The superpotential of MSSM has μ -term:

$$\mu H^U H^D. \quad (1)$$

The parameter μ has to be fine-tuned to $O(1)$ TeV in order to give appropriate electroweak breaking scale, but it is unnatural. This problem is elegantly solved by introducing an additional U(1) gauge symmetry. This extra U(1) model is proposed in the context of superstring-inspired E_6 model [2]. In this model, the bare μ -term is forbidden by the new $U(1)_X$ symmetry, but the trilinear term including G_{SM} singlet superfield S is allowed:

$$\lambda S H^U H^D. \quad (2)$$

When this singlet field S develops a vacuum expectation value (VEV), the $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken and an effective μ -term; $\mu_{\text{eff}} H^U H^D$, is generated from this term, where $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \lambda \langle S \rangle$ [3].

A promising solution for the second point is a flavor symmetry¹. In fact, the flavor symmetry strongly reduces the Yukawa coupling constants. Here, we introduce a non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetry involved in triplet representations, expecting that the number of the generations for lepton and quark is *three*. The triplet representations are contained in several non-abelian discrete symmetry groups [5], for examples, S_4 [6], A_4 [7], T' [8], $\Delta(27)$ [9] and $\Delta(54)$ [10]. In our work, we consider $S_4 \times Z_2$.

A promising solution for the third point can be arose by the cooperation with the flavor symmetry and supersymmetry. In the MSSM, the R-parity conserving operators such as $QQQL$, $E^c U^c U^c D^c$ induce the proton decay at unacceptable level. But, in the extra U(1) model, these operators are forbidden by the additional gauge symmetry. However, since the extra U(1) model has additional exotic fields, the Yukawa interactions for the exotic quarks and leptons and quarks reduce proton life time to unacceptable level, again. With the S_4 flavor symmetry, such a dangerous proton decay is sufficiently suppressed. Hence, it might be expected that the generation structure can be understood as a new system in order to *stabilize the proton*.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we explain the basic structure of S_4 flavor symmetric extra U(1) model. We give the examples of the predictive model of lepton sector (model A) in section 3, and of quark sector (model B) in section 4. In section 5, we discuss the flavon sector and its flavor violation. Finally, we make a brief summary in section 6. Experimental values of mixing matrices and masses of quarks and leptons are given in appendix, which are used to test our models.

2 The Extra U(1) Model with S_4 Flavor Symmetry

2.1 The Extra U(1) Model

The basic structure of the extra U(1) model is given as follows. At high energy scale, the gauge symmetry of model has two extra U(1)s, which consists maximal subgroup of E_6 as $G_2 = G_{SM} \times U(1)_X \times U(1)_Z \subset E_6$. MSSM superfields and additional superfields are embedded in three 27 multiplets of E_6 to cancel anomalies, which is illustrated in Table 1. The 27 multiplets are decomposed as $\mathbf{27} \supset \{Q, U^c, E^c, D^c, L, N^c, H^D, g^c, H^U, g, S\}$, where N^c are right-handed neutrinos (RHN), g and g^c are exotic quarks, and S are G_{SM} singlets. We

¹The E_6 inspired supersymmetric extension of SM with discrete flavor symmetry has been considered by authors [4].

introduce $G_{SM} \times U(1)_X$ singlets Φ and Φ^c to break $U(1)_Z$ which prevents the RHNs from having Majorana mass terms. If the $G_{SM} \times U(1)_X$ singlets develop the intermediate scale VEVs along the D-flat direction of $\langle \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi^c \rangle$, then the $U(1)_Z$ is broken and the RHNs obtain the mass terms through the trilinear terms $Y^M \Phi N^c N^c$ in the superpotential. After the symmetry is broken, as the R-parity symmetry

$$R = \exp \left[\frac{i\pi}{20} (3x - 8y + 15z) \right] \quad (3)$$

remains unbroken, $G_1 = G_{SM} \times U(1)_X \times R$ survives at low energy. This is the symmetry of the low energy extra $U(1)$ model.

Within the renormalizable operators, the full G_2 symmetric superpotential is given as follows:

$$W_1 = W_0 + W_S + W_B, \quad (4)$$

$$W_0 = Y^U H^U Q U^c + Y^D H^D Q D^c + Y^E H^D L E^c + Y^N H^U L N^c + Y^M \Phi N^c N^c, \quad (5)$$

$$W_S = k S g g^c + \lambda S H^U H^D, \quad (6)$$

$$W_B = \lambda_1 Q Q g + \lambda_2 g^c U^c D^c + \lambda_3 g E^c U^c + \lambda_4 g^c L Q + \lambda_5 g D^c N^c. \quad (7)$$

For simplicity, we drop gauge and generation indices. Where W_0 is the same as the superpotential of the MSSM with the RHNs besides the absence of μ -term, and W_S and W_B are the new interactions. In W_S , $k S g g^c$ drives the soft SUSY breaking scalar squared mass of S to negative through the renormalization group equations and then breaks $U(1)_X$ and generates mass terms of exotic quarks, and $\lambda S H^U H^D$ is source of the effective μ -term. Therefore, W_0 and W_S are phenomenologically necessary. In contrast, W_B breaks baryon number and leads to very rapid proton decay, which are phenomenologically unacceptable, so this must be forbidden.

2.2 S_4 Flavor Symmetry

We show how the S_4 flavor symmetry forbids the baryon number violating superpotential W_B . Non-abelian group S_4 has two singlet representations $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}'$, one doublet representation $\mathbf{2}$ and two triplet representations $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}'$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial representation. As the generation number of quarks and leptons is three, at least one superfield of $\{Q, U^c, E^c, D^c, L, N^c, H^D, g^c, H^U, g, S\}$ must be assigned to triplet of S_4 in order to solve flavor puzzle. As we assume that full E_6 symmetry does not realize at Planck scale, there is no need to assign all superfields to the same S_4 representations. The multiplication rules of these representations are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3} &= \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}', & \mathbf{3}' \times \mathbf{3}' &= \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}', \\ \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3}' &= \mathbf{1}' + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}', & \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{3} &= \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}', \\ \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{3}' &= \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}', & \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} &= \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}' + \mathbf{2}, \\ \mathbf{1}' \times \mathbf{3} &= \mathbf{3}', & \mathbf{1}' \times \mathbf{3}' &= \mathbf{3}, \\ \mathbf{1}' \times \mathbf{2} &= \mathbf{2}, & \mathbf{1}' \times \mathbf{1}' &= \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

With these rules, it is easily shown that all the S_4 invariants consist of two or three non-trivial representations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}' \cdot \mathbf{1}', \quad \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{2}, \quad \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3}, \quad \mathbf{3}' \cdot \mathbf{3}', \quad \mathbf{1}' \cdot \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{2}, \quad \mathbf{1}' \cdot \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3}', \quad \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{2}, \quad \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3}, \\ \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3}', \quad \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{3}' \cdot \mathbf{3}', \quad \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3}, \quad \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3}', \quad \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{3}' \cdot \mathbf{3}', \quad \mathbf{3}' \cdot \mathbf{3}' \cdot \mathbf{3}'. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

From these, one can see that there is no invariant including only one triplet ². Therefore, if g and g^c are assigned to triplets and the others are assigned to singlets or doublets, then W_B is forbidden. This provides a solution to the proton life time problem.

2.3 Exotic Quark Decay and Proton Decay Suppression

The absence of W_B makes exotic quarks and proton stable, but the existence of exotic quarks which have life time longer than 0.1 second spoils the success of Big Ban nucleosynthesis. In order to evade this problem,

² T' does not have this property but A_4 , $\Delta(27)$ and $\Delta(54)$ have.

the S_4 symmetry must be broken. Therefore, it is assumed that the S_4 breaking terms are induced from non-renormalizable terms. We introduce G_2 singlet T as triplet of S_4 and add the quartic terms:

$$W_{NRB} = \frac{1}{M_P} T (QQg + g^c U^c D^c + g^E U^c + g^c LQ + g^D N^c). \quad (10)$$

Where the order one coefficients in front of each terms are omitted for simplicity. When T develops VEV with

$$\frac{\langle T \rangle}{M_P} \sim 10^{-12}, \quad (11)$$

the phenomenological constraints on the life times of proton and exotic quarks are satisfied at the same time [4]. The violation of S_4 symmetry gives S_4 breaking corrections to effective superpotential through the non-renormalizable terms which are expressed in the same manner as Eq.(10):

$$W_{NRFV} = \frac{1}{M_P^2} T^2 (H^U Q U^c + H^D Q D^c + H^D L E^c + H^U L N^c + M' N^c N^c + S H^U H^D) + \frac{1}{M_P} T S g g^c. \quad (12)$$

Since the above corrections are negligibly small, the S_4 flavor symmetry approximately holds in low energy effective theory. One finds that the most economical flavon sector is the one which is exchanged T into *superfield-product*, $\Phi \Phi^c / M_P$, by embedding Φ^c to a S_4 triplet (Hereafter, we call Φ and Φ^c as flavon which is the trigger of flavor violation.). In this case, the condition of Eq. (11) correspond to the following relation:

$$\frac{\langle \Phi \rangle \langle \Phi^c \rangle}{M_P^2} \sim 10^{-12}, \quad (13)$$

and then the right-handed neutrino mass scale can be predicted as follows:

$$M_R \sim \langle \Phi \rangle \sim 10^{-6} M_P \sim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}. \quad (14)$$

Hence, by applying the above relation to the measurement of proton and exotic quarks (In our model, we call exotic quarks as g -quark.) lifetime, it is expected that one can determine the right-handed neutrino mass scale.

3 The Model A

Hereafter, we concentrate on W_0 that contributes mass matrices of quarks and leptons. Although the S_4 symmetry reduces the Yukawa coupling constants, there is still an overabundance of parameters. In order to reduce the Yukawa coupling constants further, we extend the flavor symmetry to $S_4 \times Z_2$ [11]. As a consequence, we can construct many predictive models. But we do not give the perfect classification of such models, and we only give two example models. Firstly, we give the model A which gives the prediction to the lepton sector. In model A, the quark, lepton, Higgs and flavon superfields are assigned to the representations of $S_4 \times Z_2$ as Table 2 and Table 4.

The superpotential W_0 which is consistent with G_2 and the symmetries of Table 2 and Table 4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 = & Y_1^U H_3^U (Q_1 U_1^c + Q_2 U_2^c) + Y_3^U H_3^U Q_3 U_3^c \\ & + Y_4^U Q_3 (H_1^U U_1^c + H_2^U U_2^c) + Y_5^U (H_1^U Q_1 + H_2^U Q_2) U_3^c \\ & + Y_1^D H_3^D (Q_1 D_1^c + Q_2 D_2^c) + Y_3^D H_3^D Q_3 D_3^c \\ & + Y_4^D Q_3 (H_1^D D_1^c + H_2^D D_2^c) + Y_5^D (H_1^D Q_1 + H_2^D Q_2) D_3^c \\ & + Y_2^N [H_1^U (L_1 N_2^c + L_2 N_1^c) + H_2^U (L_1 N_1^c - L_2 N_2^c)] \\ & + Y_3^N H_3^U L_3 N_3^c + Y_4^N L_3 (H_1^U N_1^c + H_2^U N_2^c) \\ & + Y_1^E E_1^c (H_1^D L_1 + H_2^D L_2) + Y_2^E E_2^c H_3^D L_3 + Y_3^E E_3^c (H_1^D L_2 - H_2^D L_1) \\ & + Y_1^M \Phi (N_1^c N_1^c + N_2^c N_2^c) + Y_3^M \Phi N_3^c N_3^c. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

There are sixteen complex Yukawa coupling constants in this superpotential. The twelve phases of these can be absorbed by redefinition of the five of six quark superfields $\{Q_i, Q_3, U_i^c, U_3^c, D_i^c, D_3^c\}$ and seven lepton

superfields $\{L_i, L_3, E_1^c, E_2^c, E_3^c, N_i^c, N_3^c\}$. Without loss of generality, we can define $Y_{3,4,5}^U, Y_{4,5}^D, Y_{2,4}^N, Y_{1,2,3}^E, Y_{1,3}^M$ to be real. We define the phases of complex Yukawa couplings as follows:

$$Y_1^U = e^{i\alpha}|Y_1^U|, \quad Y_1^D = e^{i\beta}|Y_1^D|, \quad Y_3^D = e^{i\gamma}|Y_3^D|, \quad Y_3^N = e^{i\delta}|Y_3^N|. \quad (16)$$

We write the VEV of the flavon as

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = V, \quad (17)$$

and the VEVs of the $SU(2)_W$ doublet Higgses as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_1^U \rangle &= v_u \cos \theta_u, & \langle H_2^U \rangle &= v_u \sin \theta_u, & \langle H_3^U \rangle &= v'_u, \\ \langle H_1^D \rangle &= v_d \cos \theta_d, & \langle H_2^D \rangle &= v_d \sin \theta_d, & \langle H_3^D \rangle &= v'_d, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we assume these VEVs are real and the parameters $V, v_{u,d}, v'_{u,d}$ are non-negative and the relation

$$\sqrt{v_u^2 + v_u'^2 + v_d^2 + v_d'^2} = 174 \text{ GeV} \quad (19)$$

is satisfied. In this paper, we do not consider how these VEVs are derived from the Higgs potential. If we define the non-negative mass parameters as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= Y_1^M V, & M_3 &= Y_3^M V, \\ m_1^u &= |Y_1^U| v'_u, & m_3^u &= Y_3^U v'_u, & m_4^u &= Y_4^U v_u, & m_5^u &= Y_5^U v_u, \\ m_1^d &= |Y_1^D| v'_d, & m_3^d &= |Y_3^D| v'_d, & m_4^d &= Y_4^D v_d, & m_5^d &= Y_5^D v_d, \\ m_2^\nu &= Y_2^N v_u, & m_3^\nu &= |Y_3^N| v'_u, & m_4^\nu &= Y_4^N v_u, \\ m_1^l &= Y_1^E v_d, & m_2^l &= Y_2^E v'_d, & m_3^l &= Y_3^E v_d, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

then the mass matrices of up-type quarks (M_u), down-type quarks (M_d), charged leptons (M_l), Dirac neutrinos (M_D) and Majorana neutrinos (M_R) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_u &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} m_1^u & 0 & m_5^u \cos \theta_u \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} m_1^u & m_5^u \sin \theta_u \\ m_4^u \cos \theta_u & m_4^u \sin \theta_u & m_3^u \end{pmatrix}, & M_d &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta} m_1^d & 0 & m_5^d \cos \theta_d \\ 0 & e^{i\beta} m_1^d & m_5^d \sin \theta_d \\ m_4^d \cos \theta_d & m_4^d \sin \theta_d & e^{i\gamma} m_3^d \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_l &= \begin{pmatrix} m_1^l \cos \theta_d & 0 & -m_3^l \sin \theta_d \\ m_1^l \sin \theta_d & 0 & m_3^l \cos \theta_d \\ 0 & m_2^l & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & M_D &= \begin{pmatrix} m_2^\nu \sin \theta_u & m_2^\nu \cos \theta_u & 0 \\ m_2^\nu \cos \theta_u & -m_2^\nu \sin \theta_u & 0 \\ m_4^\nu \cos \theta_u & m_4^\nu \sin \theta_u & e^{i\delta} m_3^\nu \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_R &= \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

After the seesaw mechanism, the light neutrino mass matrix is given by

$$M_\nu = M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^t = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_2^2 & 0 & \rho_2 \rho_4 \sin 2\theta_u \\ 0 & \rho_2^2 & \rho_2 \rho_4 \cos 2\theta_u \\ \rho_2 \rho_4 \sin 2\theta_u & \rho_2 \rho_4 \cos 2\theta_u & \rho_4^2 + e^{2i\delta} \rho_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\rho_2 = \frac{m_2^\nu}{\sqrt{M_1}}, \quad \rho_4 = \frac{m_4^\nu}{\sqrt{M_1}}, \quad \rho_3 = \frac{m_3^\nu}{\sqrt{M_3}}. \quad (23)$$

In the lepton sector, the mass eigenvalues and diagonalization matrix of charged leptons are given by

$$V_l^\dagger M_l^* M_l^t V_l = \text{diag}(m_e^2, m_\mu^2, m_\tau^2) = ((m_2^l)^2, (m_3^l)^2, (m_1^l)^2), \quad (24)$$

$$V_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sin \theta_d & \cos \theta_d \\ 0 & \cos \theta_d & \sin \theta_d \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

and those of the light neutrinos are given by

$$V_\nu^t M_\nu V_\nu = \text{diag}(e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi)} m_{\nu_1}, e^{i(\phi_2 + \phi)} m_{\nu_2}, m_{\nu_3}), \quad (26)$$

$$V_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2\theta_u & -\cos 2\theta_u & 0 \\ \cos 2\theta_u & \sin 2\theta_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta_\nu & e^{i\phi} \cos \theta_\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ e^{-i\phi} \cos \theta_\nu & \sin \theta_\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

from Eq.(25) and Eq.(27), the Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (MNS) matrix is given by

$$V'_{MNS} = V_l^\dagger V_\nu P_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-i\phi} \cos \theta_\nu & -\sin \theta_\nu & 0 \\ -\cos \bar{\theta} \sin \theta_\nu & e^{i\phi} \cos \bar{\theta} \cos \theta_\nu & \sin \bar{\theta} \\ -\sin \bar{\theta} \sin \theta_\nu & e^{i\phi} \sin \bar{\theta} \cos \theta_\nu & -\cos \bar{\theta} \end{pmatrix} P_\nu, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\bar{\theta} = \theta_d + 2\theta_u, \quad (29)$$

$$P_\nu = \text{diag}(e^{-i(\phi_1-\phi)/2}, e^{-i(\phi_2+\phi)/2}, 1). \quad (30)$$

Following ref. [11], we get

$$\tan^2 \theta_\nu = \frac{\sqrt{m_{\nu_2}^2 - m_{\nu_3}^2 \sin^2 \phi} - m_{\nu_3} |\cos \phi|}{\sqrt{m_{\nu_1}^2 - m_{\nu_3}^2 \sin^2 \phi} + m_{\nu_3} |\cos \phi|}, \quad (31)$$

$$\sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = \frac{m_{\nu_3} \sin \phi}{m_{\nu_1} m_{\nu_2}} \left[\sqrt{m_{\nu_2}^2 - m_{\nu_3}^2 \sin^2 \phi} + \sqrt{m_{\nu_1}^2 - m_{\nu_3}^2 \sin^2 \phi} \right], \quad (32)$$

$$\sin(\phi_1 - \phi) = \frac{\sin \phi}{m_{\nu_1}} \left[m_{\nu_3} \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \phi} + \sqrt{m_{\nu_1}^2 - m_{\nu_3}^2 \sin^2 \phi} \right]. \quad (33)$$

After the redefinition of the fields, the MNS matrix is transformed to the standard form in Eq.(106) where the parameters are given by

$$\theta_{13} = 0, \quad \theta_{12} = \theta_\nu, \quad \theta_{23} = \bar{\theta}, \quad \alpha' = \frac{\phi_1 - \phi_2}{2}, \quad \beta' = \frac{\phi_1 - \phi}{2}. \quad (34)$$

If the neutrino masses have been measured, the two Majorana phases α' and β' would be predicted by Eqs.(31), (32), (33) and (34). In addition, $\theta_{13} = 0$ is predicted, so totally three predictions are given in the lepton sector.

In the quark sector, the mass eigenvalues and diagonalization matrices of quarks are given as follows:

$$V_u^\dagger M_u^* M_u^t V_u = \text{diag}(m_u^2, m_c^2, m_t^2), \quad (35)$$

$$V_u = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_u & -\sin \theta_u & 0 \\ \sin \theta_u & \cos \theta_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi_u} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta'_u & 0 & \sin \theta'_u \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta'_u & 0 & \cos \theta'_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (36)$$

$$m_u^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(m_1^u)^2 + (m_3^u)^2 + (m_4^u)^2 + (m_5^u)^2 - \mu_u^2], \quad (37)$$

$$m_c^2 = (m_1^u)^2, \quad (38)$$

$$m_t^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(m_1^u)^2 + (m_3^u)^2 + (m_4^u)^2 + (m_5^u)^2 + \mu_u^2], \quad (39)$$

$$\mu_u^2 = \sqrt{((m_3^u)^2 + (m_4^u)^2 - (m_1^u)^2 - (m_5^u)^2)^2 + 4R_u^2}, \quad (40)$$

$$R_u = \sqrt{(m_1^u m_4^u \cos \alpha + m_3^u m_5^u)^2 + (m_1^u m_4^u \sin \alpha)^2}, \quad (41)$$

$$\tan 2\theta'_u = \frac{2R_u}{(m_3^u)^2 + (m_4^u)^2 - (m_1^u)^2 - (m_5^u)^2}, \quad (42)$$

$$\tan \phi_u = \frac{m_1^u m_4^u \sin \alpha}{m_1^u m_4^u \cos \alpha + m_3^u m_5^u}, \quad (43)$$

$$V_d^\dagger M_d^* M_d^t V_d = \text{diag}(m_d^2, m_s^2, m_b^2), \quad (44)$$

$$V_d = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_d & -\sin \theta_d & 0 \\ \sin \theta_d & \cos \theta_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi_d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta'_d & 0 & \sin \theta'_d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta'_d & 0 & \cos \theta'_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

$$m_d^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(m_1^d)^2 + (m_3^d)^2 + (m_4^d)^2 + (m_5^d)^2 - \mu_d^2], \quad (46)$$

$$m_s^2 = (m_1^d)^2, \quad (47)$$

$$m_b^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(m_1^d)^2 + (m_3^d)^2 + (m_4^d)^2 + (m_5^d)^2 + \mu_d^2], \quad (48)$$

$$\mu_d^2 = \sqrt{((m_3^d)^2 + (m_4^d)^2 - (m_1^d)^2 - (m_5^d)^2)^2 + 4R_d^2}, \quad (49)$$

$$R_d = \sqrt{(m_1^d m_4^d \cos \beta + m_3^d m_5^d \cos \gamma)^2 + (m_1^d m_4^d \sin \beta - m_3^d m_5^d \sin \gamma)^2}, \quad (50)$$

$$\tan 2\theta'_d = \frac{2R_d}{(m_3^d)^2 + (m_4^d)^2 - (m_1^d)^2 - (m_5^d)^2}, \quad (51)$$

$$\tan \phi_d = \frac{m_1^d m_4^d \sin \beta - m_3^d m_5^d \sin \gamma}{m_1^d m_4^d \cos \beta + m_3^d m_5^d \cos \gamma}, \quad (52)$$

from which the Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix is given by

$$V_{CKM} = V_u^\dagger V_d = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \tilde{\theta} \cos \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d + e^{i\bar{\phi}} \sin \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d & -\sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \theta'_u & \cos \tilde{\theta} \cos \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d - e^{i\bar{\phi}} \sin \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d \\ \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \theta'_d & \cos \tilde{\theta} & \sin \tilde{\theta} \sin \theta'_d \\ \cos \tilde{\theta} \sin \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d - e^{i\bar{\phi}} \cos \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d & -\sin \tilde{\theta} \sin \theta'_u & \cos \tilde{\theta} \sin \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d + e^{i\bar{\phi}} \cos \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (53)$$

where

$$\tilde{\theta} = \theta_d - \theta_u, \quad \bar{\phi} = \phi_d - \phi_u. \quad (54)$$

The experimental values of the matrix elements and Jarlskog invariant in Eq.(105) are reproduced by putting

$$\tilde{\theta} = 13.3^\circ, \quad \theta'_u = 10.2^\circ, \quad \theta'_d = 10.4^\circ, \quad \bar{\phi} = 1.1^\circ. \quad (55)$$

In ref. [11], it is assumed that the VEVs of Higgs S_3 doublets are fixed in the direction of $\theta_u = \theta_d = \frac{\pi}{4}$, which enforces $\tilde{\theta} = 0$ (and predicts the atmospheric neutrino mixing angle is maximal). This means the Cabbibo angle is zero. In contrast, there is no such a condition of vacuum directions in the model A.

Due to an overabundance of free parameters, there is no prediction in quark sector. But we can show that there exist consistent parameter sets. For example, if we put

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 3.08^\circ, & \beta &= 1.22^\circ, & \gamma &= -1.10^\circ, \\ m_1^u &= 624 \text{ MeV}, & m_3^u &= 170 \text{ GeV}, & m_4^u &= 3.47 \text{ GeV}, & m_5^u &= 30.5 \text{ GeV}, \\ m_1^d &= 55.0 \text{ MeV}, & m_3^d &= 2.84 \text{ GeV}, & m_4^d &= 300 \text{ MeV}, & m_5^d &= 522 \text{ MeV}, \\ m_1^l &= 1.75 \text{ GeV}, & m_2^l &= 487 \text{ KeV}, & m_3^l &= 103 \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

then the quark masses in Eq.(104) and the parameters of CKM matrix in Eq.(55) are reproduced. These parameters can be expressed by the perturbative Yukawa coupling constants and the VEVs of Higgs fields through Eq.(20), for example as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v_u &= 32 \text{ GeV}, & v'_u &= 170 \text{ GeV}, & v_d &= 9.9 \text{ GeV}, & v'_d &= 14.2 \text{ GeV}, \\ \left| Y_1^U \right| &= 3.7 \times 10^{-3}, & \left| Y_3^U \right| &= 1.0, & \left| Y_4^U \right| &= 0.11, & \left| Y_5^U \right| &= 0.95, \\ \left| Y_1^D \right| &= 3.9 \times 10^{-3}, & \left| Y_3^D \right| &= 0.20, & \left| Y_4^D \right| &= 0.030, & \left| Y_5^D \right| &= 0.050, \\ \left| Y_1^E \right| &= 0.18, & \left| Y_2^E \right| &= 3.4 \times 10^{-5}, & \left| Y_3^E \right| &= 0.010. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

As all the coupling constants of the model are perturbative, it is consistent that the fundamental energy scale is much larger than the electroweak scale, which is the base of naturalness problem. It is noted that there may be the problem of flavor-changing neutral currents which are generally enhanced in multi-Higgs models thus ruling out such models [12]. But this is beyond the scope of this paper, we leave this subject for a future work.

4 The Model B

Next, we give the model B which gives the prediction to the quark sector. In the model B, the quark, lepton, Higgs and flavon superfields are assigned to the representations of $S_4 \times Z_2$ as Table 3 and Table 4.

The superpotential W_0 which is consistent with G_2 and the symmetries of Table 3 and Table 4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
W_0 = & Y_2^U [H_1^U (Q_1 U_2^c + Q_2 U_1^c) + H_2^U (Q_1 U_1^c - Q_2 U_2^c)] \\
& + Y_4^U Q_3 (H_1^U U_1^c + H_2^U U_2^c) + Y_5^U (H_1^U Q_1 + H_2^U Q_2) U_3^c \\
& + Y_2^D [H_1^D (Q_1 D_2^c + Q_2 D_1^c) + H_2^D (Q_1 D_1^c - Q_2 D_2^c)] \\
& + Y_4^D Q_3 (H_1^D D_1^c + H_2^D D_2^c) + Y_5^D (H_1^D Q_1 + H_2^D Q_2) D_3^c \\
& + Y_2^N [H_1^U (L_1 N_2^c + L_2 N_1^c) + H_2^U (L_1 N_1^c - L_2 N_2^c)] \\
& + Y_3^N L_3 H_3^U N_3^c + Y_5^N (H_1^U L_1 + H_2^U L_2) N_3^c \\
& + Y_1^E H_3^D (L_1 E_1^c + L_2 E_2^c) + Y_3^E L_3 E_3^c H_3^D \\
& + Y_4^E L_3 (H_1^D E_1^c + H_2^D E_2^c) + Y_5^E (H_1^D L_1 + H_2^D L_2) E_3^c \\
& + Y_2^M [2\Phi_1 N_1^c N_2^c + \Phi_2 (N_1^c N_1^c - N_2^c N_2^c)] + 2Y_4^M (\Phi_1 N_1^c N_3^c + \Phi_2 N_2^c N_3^c).
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

As implemented in previous section, we define the Yukawa couplings $Y_{2,4,5}^U, Y_{4,5}^D, Y_{2,3}^N, Y_{4,5}^E, Y_{2,4}^M$ to be real and define the phases of the complex Yukawa couplings as follows:

$$Y_2^D = e^{i\delta} |Y_2^D|, \quad Y_5^N = e^{i\alpha} |Y_5^N|, \quad Y_1^E = e^{i\beta} |Y_1^E|, \quad Y_3^E = e^{i\gamma} |Y_3^E|. \tag{59}$$

The definitions of the VEVs of flavon scalar fields are replaced from Eq.(17) to

$$\langle \Phi_1 \rangle = V \cos \theta, \quad \langle \Phi_2 \rangle = V \sin \theta. \tag{60}$$

But those of the VEVs of Higgs fields are the same as Eq.(18). In this case, the flavor symmetry is explicitly broken by the threshold correction due to the mass differences of RHNs. But here, we assume that the correction is negligible. The non-negative mass parameters are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &= Y_2^M V, & M_4 &= Y_4^M V, \\
m_2^u &= Y_2^U v_u, & m_4^u &= Y_4^U v_u, & m_5^u &= Y_5^U v_u, \\
m_2^d &= |Y_2^D| v_d, & m_4^d &= Y_4^D v_d, & m_5^d &= Y_5^D v_d, \\
m_2^\nu &= Y_2^N v_u, & m_3^\nu &= Y_3^N v'_u, & m_5^\nu &= |Y_5^N| v_u, \\
m_1^l &= |Y_1^E| v'_d, & m_3^l &= |Y_3^E| v'_d, & m_4^l &= Y_4^E v_d, & m_5^l &= Y_5^E v_d,
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

then the mass matrices of quarks and leptons are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
M_u &= \begin{pmatrix} m_2^u \sin \theta_u & m_2^u \cos \theta_u & m_5^u \cos \theta_u \\ m_2^u \cos \theta_u & -m_2^u \sin \theta_u & m_5^u \sin \theta_u \\ m_4^u \cos \theta_u & m_4^u \sin \theta_u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & M_d &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\delta} m_2^d \sin \theta_d & e^{i\delta} m_2^d \cos \theta_d & m_5^d \cos \theta_d \\ e^{i\delta} m_2^d \cos \theta_d & -e^{i\delta} m_2^d \sin \theta_d & m_5^d \sin \theta_d \\ m_4^d \cos \theta_d & m_4^d \sin \theta_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
M_l &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta} m_1^l & 0 & m_5^l \cos \theta_d \\ 0 & e^{i\beta} m_1^l & m_5^l \sin \theta_d \\ m_4^l \cos \theta_d & m_4^l \sin \theta_d & e^{i\gamma} m_3^l \end{pmatrix}, & M_D &= \begin{pmatrix} m_2^\nu \sin \theta_u & m_2^\nu \cos \theta_u & e^{i\alpha} m_5^\nu \cos \theta_u \\ m_2^\nu \cos \theta_u & -m_2^\nu \sin \theta_u & e^{i\alpha} m_5^\nu \sin \theta_u \\ 0 & 0 & m_3^\nu \end{pmatrix}, \\
M_R &= \begin{pmatrix} M_2 \sin \theta & M_2 \cos \theta & M_4 \cos \theta \\ M_2 \cos \theta & -M_2 \sin \theta & M_4 \sin \theta \\ M_4 \cos \theta & M_4 \sin \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Here we assume that the mass parameters are hierarchical as $m_5^u \gg m_2^u \gg m_4^u$ and $m_5^d \gg m_2^d \gg m_4^d$, then the mass eigenvalues and diagonalization matrices of quarks are approximately given as follows:

$$V_u^\dagger M_u^* M_u^t V_u = \text{diag}(m_u^2, m_c^2, m_t^2), \tag{63}$$

$$V_u \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_u & \cos \theta_u & 0 \\ -\cos \theta_u & \sin \theta_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta'_u & -\sin \theta'_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\sin \theta'_u & -\cos \theta'_u & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{64}$$

$$m_u^2 \simeq (m_4^u \sin 3\theta_u)^2, \tag{65}$$

$$m_c^2 \simeq (m_2^u)^2 + (m_4^u \cos 3\theta_u)^2, \tag{66}$$

$$m_t^2 \simeq (m_5^u)^2, \tag{67}$$

$$\theta'_u \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{m_2^u m_4^u \cos 3\theta_u}{(m_2^u)^2 - (m_4^u)^2}, \tag{68}$$

$$V_d^\dagger M_d^* M_d^t V_d = \text{diag}(m_d^2, m_s^2, m_b^2), \quad (69)$$

$$V_d \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_d & \cos \theta_d & 0 \\ -\cos \theta_d & \sin \theta_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\delta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta'_d & -\sin \theta'_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\sin \theta'_d & -\cos \theta'_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (70)$$

$$m_d^2 \simeq (m_4^d \sin 3\theta_d)^2, \quad (71)$$

$$m_s^2 \simeq (m_2^d)^2 + (m_4^d \cos 3\theta_d)^2, \quad (72)$$

$$m_b^2 \simeq (m_5^d)^2, \quad (73)$$

$$\theta'_d \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{m_2^d m_4^d \cos 3\theta_d}{(m_2^d)^2 - (m_4^d)^2},$$

from these the CKM matrix is given by

$$V_{CKM} = V_u^\dagger V_d = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \bar{\theta} \cos \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d + e^{i\delta} \sin \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d & -\cos \bar{\theta} \cos \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d + e^{i\delta} \sin \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d & -\sin \bar{\theta} \cos \theta'_u \\ -\cos \bar{\theta} \sin \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d + e^{i\delta} \cos \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d & \cos \bar{\theta} \sin \theta'_u \sin \theta'_d + e^{i\delta} \cos \theta'_u \cos \theta'_d & \sin \bar{\theta} \sin \theta'_u \\ \sin \bar{\theta} \cos \theta'_d & -\sin \bar{\theta} \sin \theta'_d & \cos \bar{\theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{\theta} = \theta_d - \theta_u. \quad (74)$$

As the ten observables which consist of six mass eigenvalues, three CKM mixing angles and one phase are described by 9 parameters $m_{2,4,5}^u, m_{2,4,5}^d, \theta_{u,d}, \delta$, there is one prediction in quark sector. If we put

$$\begin{aligned} m_4^u &= 55.0 \text{ MeV}, & m_2^u &= 550 \text{ MeV}, & m_5^u &= 172.5 \text{ GeV}, \\ m_4^d &= 13.5 \text{ MeV}, & m_2^d &= 65.0 \text{ MeV}, & m_5^d &= 2.89 \text{ GeV}, \\ \theta_u &= 0.60^\circ, & \theta_d &= 2.89^\circ, & \delta &= 92.37^\circ, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

then the observables are given by

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{ud}| &= 0.974, & |V_{us}| &= 0.226, & |V_{ub}| &= 0.00398, \\ |V_{cd}| &= 0.226, & |V_{cs}| &= 0.973, & |V_{cb}| &= 0.0398, \\ |V_{td}| &= 0.00804, & |V_{ts}| &= 0.0391, & |V_{tb}| &= 0.999, \\ J_{CP} &= 3.18 \times 10^{-5}, \\ m_t &= 172.5 \text{ GeV}, & m_c &= 553 \text{ MeV}, & m_u &= 1.73 \text{ MeV}, \\ m_b &= 2.89 \text{ GeV}, & m_s &= 66.4 \text{ MeV}, & m_d &= 2.04 \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

which are marginally consistent with Eqs.(104) and (105).

In the lepton sector, we just show an example of the set of parameters below, since there are too many free parameters to give predictions. For simplicity, we assume $\alpha = 0, m_3^\nu = 0$. Then, the seesaw neutrino mass matrix is given by

$$M_\nu = M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^t = \rho \begin{pmatrix} A & B & 0 \\ B & C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (77)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \cos^2(\theta + \theta_u) - 2r \cos(2\theta - \theta_u) \cos \theta_u + r^2 \cos^2 \theta_u, \\ B &= -\cos(\theta + \theta_u) \sin(\theta + \theta_u) - r \sin 2\theta + r^2 \cos \theta_u \sin \theta_u, \\ C &= \sin^2(\theta + \theta_u) - 2r \sin(2\theta - \theta_u) \sin \theta_u + r^2 \sin^2 \theta_u, \\ r &= \frac{M_2 m_5^\nu}{M_4 m_2^\nu}, \quad \rho = -\frac{(m_2^\nu)^2}{M_2 \sin 3\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

The mass eigenvalues and diagonalization matrix of charged leptons are given by

$$V_l^\dagger M_l^* M_l^t V_l = \text{diag}(m_e^2, m_\mu^2, m_\tau^2), \quad (79)$$

$$V_l = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_d & -\sin \theta_d & 0 \\ \sin \theta_d & \cos \theta_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\phi_l} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos \theta_l & \sin \theta_l \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_l & \cos \theta_l \end{pmatrix}, \quad (80)$$

$$m_e^2 = (m_1^l)^2, \quad (81)$$

$$m_\mu^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(m_1^l)^2 + (m_3^l)^2 + (m_4^l)^2 + (m_5^l)^2 - \mu_l^2], \quad (82)$$

$$m_\tau^2 = \frac{1}{2} [(m_1^l)^2 + (m_3^l)^2 + (m_4^l)^2 + (m_5^l)^2 + \mu_l^2], \quad (83)$$

$$\mu_l^2 = \sqrt{((m_3^l)^2 + (m_4^l)^2 - (m_1^l)^2 - (m_5^l)^2)^2 + 4R_l^2}, \quad (84)$$

$$R_l = \sqrt{(m_1^l m_4^l \cos \beta + m_3^l m_5^l \cos \gamma)^2 + (m_1^l m_4^l \sin \beta - m_3^l m_5^l \sin \gamma)^2}, \quad (85)$$

$$\tan 2\theta_l = \frac{2R_l}{(m_3^l)^2 + (m_4^l)^2 - (m_1^l)^2 - (m_5^l)^2}, \quad (86)$$

$$\tan \phi_l = \frac{m_1^l m_4^l \sin \beta - m_3^l m_5^l \sin \gamma}{m_1^l m_4^l \cos \beta + m_3^l m_5^l \cos \gamma}, \quad (87)$$

and those of the light neutrinos are given by

$$V_\nu^t M_\nu V_\nu = \text{diag}(m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}, m_{\nu_3}), \quad (88)$$

$$V_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_\nu & \sin \theta_\nu & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_\nu & \cos \theta_\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (89)$$

$$\tan 2\theta_\nu = \frac{2B}{C - A}, \quad (90)$$

$$m_{\nu_1} = \frac{1}{2}\rho \left[A + C - \sqrt{(A - C)^2 + 4B^2} \right], \quad (91)$$

$$m_{\nu_2} = \frac{1}{2}\rho \left[A + C + \sqrt{(A - C)^2 + 4B^2} \right], \quad (92)$$

$$m_{\nu_3} = 0. \quad (93)$$

From the above equations, the MNS matrix is given by

$$V_{MNS} = V_l^\dagger V_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{12} \cos \theta_l & \cos \theta_{12} \cos \theta_l & -e^{-i\phi_l} \sin \theta_l \\ -\sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_l & \cos \theta_{12} \sin \theta_l & e^{-i\phi_l} \cos \theta_l \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\theta_{12} = \theta_d + \theta_\nu - \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (94)$$

By the redefinition of fields, the phase ϕ_l is rotated away. If we put

$$r = 0.417, \quad \theta = 89.39^\circ, \\ m_1^l = 487 \text{ KeV}, \quad m_3^l = 1226 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_4^l = 148.8 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_5^l = 1235 \text{ MeV}, \quad (95)$$

then the charged lepton masses in Eq.(104) are reproduced and the mixing angles and the ratio of two neutrino squared mass differences are given by

$$\theta_{13} = 0^\circ, \quad \theta_{12} = 33.93^\circ, \quad \theta_{23} = 45^\circ, \quad \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{\Delta m_{23}^2} = 0.032, \quad (96)$$

which are consistent with Eq.(106). The parameters in Eq.(75) and (95) can be well explained by the perturbative Yukawa coupling constants and the VEVs of Higgs fields through Eq.(61); for example, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v_u &= 172.5 \text{ GeV}, & v'_u &= 22.8 \text{ GeV}, & v_d &= 14.5 \text{ GeV}, & v'_d &= 9.4 \text{ GeV}, \\ |Y_2^U| &= 3.2 \times 10^{-3}, & |Y_4^U| &= 3.2 \times 10^{-4}, & |Y_5^U| &= 1.0, \\ |Y_2^D| &= 4.5 \times 10^{-3}, & |Y_4^D| &= 9.3 \times 10^{-4}, & |Y_5^D| &= 0.20, \\ |Y_1^E| &= 5.2 \times 10^{-5}, & |Y_3^E| &= 0.13, & |Y_4^E| &= 0.010, & |Y_5^E| &= 0.085. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

5 Flavor Violation in Extended Sector

In this chapter, we show a outline how to realize the flavor symmetry breaking in our model.

5.1 Higgs and g -quark Sector

At first, we discuss the flavor symmetry breaking in low energy scale. In the both models; A and B, since the each of the representations for S , H^U , H^D , and $g(g^c)$ is chosen to the same assignments, G_2 and $S_4 \times Z_2$ invariant superpotential; W_S , are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_S = & \lambda_1 S_3 (H_1^U H_1^D + H_2^U H_2^D) + \lambda_3 S_3 H_3^U H_3^D \\ & + \lambda_4 H_3^U (S_1 H_1^D + S_2 H_2^D) + \lambda_5 H_3^D (S_1 H_1^U + S_2 H_2^U) \\ & + k S_3 (g_1 g_1^c + g_2 g_2^c + g_3 g_3^c), \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

where one can take, without any loss of the generalities, $\lambda_{1,3,4,5}$ and k as real, by redefining an arbitrary field of $\{g, g^c\}$ and four arbitrary fields of $\{S_i, S_3, H_i^U, H_3^U, H_i^D, H_3^D\}$, respectively. However, this superpotential could have would-be goldstone bosons when all of the Higgs fields acquire VEVs, because of an accidental $O(2)$ symmetry induced by the common rotation of the S_4 doublet. In order to avoid the problem, we assume that the flavor symmetry should be explicitly broken in the soft scalar mass terms, which can play role in giving the controllable parameters for the direction of the $SU(2)$ doublet Higgs VEVs. Moreover, if these soft mass parameters are assumed to be real, parameters appeared in the Higgs potential can be also taken to be real. Hence these VEVs can be expected to be real. But the serious analysis for the Higgs potential is beyond our paper.

Here, we must discuss some potential problems; the Higgs mediated flavor changing neutral currents (FCNCs), and SUSY-FCNCs. The first problem comes from multiple Higgs interactions with leptons and quarks. The second one arises from the SUSY breaking terms, in which the flavor symmetry breaking is also introduced.

To resolve these problems, we assume that the soft breaking scale; M_{SB} , should be large enough to be satisfied with $M_{SB} > O(10 \text{ TeV})$ [12].

As a result of the gauge symmetry breaking, one finds the following degenerated mass matrix with diagonal form in the g -quark sector:

$$M_g = \text{diag}(m_g, m_g, m_g), \quad m_g = k \langle S_3 \rangle. \quad (99)$$

Note that such a degenerated mass is obtained only in case of coupling to the S_3 field. If the Z_2 assignments for g and g^c fields are chosen to be opposite each other, then the last term of the Eq. (98) is modified as follows:

$$k[\sqrt{3}S_1(g_2 g_2^c - g_3 g_3^c) + S_2(g_2 g_2^c + g_3 g_3^c - 2g_1 g_1^c)]. \quad (100)$$

In this case, one straightforwardly finds that the non-degenerated diagonal mass matrix is obtained. However note that the mass matrix for the $g(g^c)$ -superpartner depends on the form of the flavor symmetry breaking in the soft breaking mass term.

5.2 Flavon Sector

Next, we discuss the flavon sector which leads to the flavor symmetry breaking in high energy scale.

Considering the fact that there are not any renormalizable superpotentials for Φ and Φ^c because of the flavor and gauge symmetry, the lowest superpotential, (which is not renormalizable), is given as

$$\begin{aligned} W_\Phi = & \frac{a_1}{2M_P} \Phi_3^2 [(\Phi_1^c)^2 + (\Phi_2^c)^2 + (\Phi_3^c)^2] \\ & + \frac{a_2}{2M_P} (\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) [(\Phi_1^c)^2 + (\Phi_2^c)^2 + (\Phi_3^c)^2] \\ & + \frac{a_3}{2M_P} \{2\sqrt{3}\Phi_1\Phi_2[(\Phi_2^c)^2 - (\Phi_3^c)^2] + (\Phi_1^2 - \Phi_2^2)[(\Phi_2^c)^2 + (\Phi_3^c)^2 - 2(\Phi_1^c)^2]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

In both of the models, one can expect that the soft breaking mass terms for Φ_3 (for model A) and Φ_i (for model B) induce the $U(1)_Z$ symmetry breaking, by driven the soft breaking mass terms to be minus by the Yukawa coupling $Y^M \Phi N^c N^c$. As a subsequent result, the following relation is found by solving the minimal condition for the potential;

$$\langle \Phi \rangle \sim \langle \Phi^c \rangle \sim \left(\frac{M_{SB} M_P}{a_i} \right)^{1/2} \sim 10^{11} \text{ GeV} a_i^{-1/2} \left(\frac{M_{SB}}{10 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (102)$$

where the condition of Eq. (11) are satisfied in the case of

$$a_i \sim 10^{-2}. \quad (103)$$

In a similar way of the low energy case, the direction of the VEVs are controlled by the explicit flavor symmetry breaking terms with the soft breaking.

6 Summary

In this paper, we have considered the $S_4 \times Z_2$ flavor symmetric extra U(1) model, and have obtained the following results:

1. By assigning that exotic quarks are in S_4 triplets and the others are in singlets or doublets, well-suppression of proton decay is realized.
2. The phenomenological constraints for the proton decay and the g -quark life time lead to a prediction that the right-handed neutrino mass should be around 10^{12} GeV.
3. The flavor symmetry leads to reduced parameters enough to give predictions to the lepton sector (in model A) or the quark sector (in model B).

It might be expected that the new gauge symmetry and the flavor symmetry are tested in LHC or future colliders.

Acknowledgments

H.O. thanks great hospitality of the Kanazawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at Kanazawa University. Discussions during my visit were useful to finalize this project. This work is partially supported by the ICTP grant Proj-30 and the Egyptian Academy for Scientific Research and Technology (H.O.).

Appendix

A Experimental Values

Running masses of quarks and charged leptons [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} m_u(m_Z) &= 1.28_{-0.39}^{+0.50} (\text{MeV}), & m_c(m_Z) &= 624 \pm 83 (\text{MeV}), & m_t(m_Z) &= 172.5 \pm 3.0 (\text{GeV}), \\ m_d(m_Z) &= 2.91_{-1.20}^{+1.24} (\text{MeV}), & m_s(m_Z) &= 55_{-15}^{+16} (\text{MeV}), & m_b(m_Z) &= 2.89 \pm 0.09 (\text{GeV}), \\ m_e(m_Z) &= 0.48657 (\text{MeV}), & m_\mu(m_Z) &= 102.72 (\text{MeV}), & m_\tau(m_Z) &= 1746 (\text{MeV}). \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

CKM matrix elements and Jarlskog invariant [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{ud}| &= 0.97418 \pm 0.00027, & |V_{us}| &= 0.2255 \pm 0.0019, & |V_{ub}| &= (3.93 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-3}, \\ |V_{cd}| &= 0.230 \pm 0.011, & |V_{cs}| &= 1.04 \pm 0.06, & |V_{cb}| &= (41.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}, \\ |V_{td}| &= (8.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}, & |V_{ts}| &= (38.7 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-3}, & |V_{tb}| &> 0.74, \\ J_{CP} &= \text{Im}(V_{ud}V_{ub}^*V_{cd}V_{cb}^*) = (3.05_{-0.20}^{+0.19}) \times 10^{-5}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Neutrino mass-squared differences and the parameters of MNS matrix [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m_{21}^2 &= m_{\nu_2}^2 - m_{\nu_1}^2 = (8.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5} \quad (eV^2), & \Delta m_{32}^2 &= |m_{\nu_3}^2 - m_{\nu_2}^2| = (1.9 - 3.0) \times 10^{-3} \quad (eV^2), \\ V_{MNS} &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta'} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta'} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta'} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta'} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta'} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha'} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\beta'} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \theta_{12} &= 34.0_{-1.5^\circ}^{+1.3^\circ}, & 45.0^\circ &> \theta_{23} > 36.8^\circ, & 12.9^\circ &> \theta_{13} > 0.0^\circ. \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

B Representations of Superfields

	Q	U^c	E^c	D^c	L	N^c	H^D	g^c	H^U	g	S	Φ	Φ^c
$SU(3)_c$	3	3*	1	3*	1	1	1	3*	1	3	1	1	1
$SU(2)_W$	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
$y = 6Y$	1	-4	6	2	-3	0	-3	2	3	-2	0	0	0
x	1	1	1	2	2	0	-3	-3	-2	-2	5	0	0
z	-1	-1	-1	2	2	-4	-1	-1	2	2	-1	8	-8
R	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Table 1: G_2 assignment of superfields. Where the x , y and z are charges of $U(1)_X$, $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_Z$, and Y is hypercharge.

	Q_i	Q_3	U_i^c	U_3^c	E_1^c	E_2^c	E_3^c	D_i^c	D_3^c	L_i	L_3	N_i^c	N_3^c	Φ_i	Φ_3	Φ_a^c
S_4	2	1	2	1	1	1	1'	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	3
Z_2	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+

Table 2: $S_4 \times Z_2$ assignment of quark, lepton and flavon superfields in the model A (Where the index i of the S_4 doublets runs $i = 1, 2$, and the index a of the S_4 triplets runs $a = 1, 2, 3$).

	Q_i	Q_3	U_i^c	U_3^c	E_i^c	E_3^c	D_i^c	D_3^c	L_i	L_3	N_i^c	N_3^c	Φ_i	Φ_3	Φ_a^c
S_4	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	3
Z_2	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+

Table 3: $S_4 \times Z_2$ assignment of quark, lepton and flavon superfields in the model B (Where the notation is the same as that in Table 2.).

	H_i^D	H_3^D	H_i^U	H_3^U	S_i	S_3	g_a	g_a^c
S_4	2	1	2	1	2	1	3	3
Z_2	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+

Table 4: $S_4 \times Z_2$ assignment of Higgs and g-quark superfields in the model A and model B (Where the notation is the same as that in Table 2.).

References

- [1] H.P. Nilles, Phys. Rep. **110** (1984) 1.
- [2] F. Zwirner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A3** (1988) 49, J.L. Hewett and T.G. Rizzo, Phys. Rep. **183** (1989) 193.
- [3] D. Suematsu and Y. Yamagishi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10** (1995) 4521.
- [4] R. Howl and S.F. King, JHEP**0805** (2008) 008 [arXiv:0802.1909[hep-ph]].
- [5] For reviews and recent advanced works, see the followings: P. O. Ludl, arXiv:0907.5587 [hep-ph]. H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, arXiv:1003.3552 [hep-th], L. Merlo, arXiv:1004.2211 [hep-ph], W. Grimus and P. O. Ludl, arXiv:1006.0098 [hep-ph], K. S. Babu and S. Gabriel, arXiv:1006.0203 [hep-ph], P. O. Ludl, arXiv:1006.1479 [math-ph].
- [6] S. Pakvasa and H. Sugawara, Phys. Lett. **B73** (1978) 61, E. Ma, Phys. Lett. **B632** (2006) 352 [arXiv:hep-ph/0508231], C. Hagedorn, M. Lindner and R.N. Mohapatra, JHEP**0606** (2006) 042 [arXiv:hep-ph/0602244], H. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **655**, 132 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0612214], Y. Koide, JHEP**0708**

- (2007) 086 [arXiv:0705.2275 [hep-ph]], F. Bazzocchi and S. Morisi, [arXiv:0811.0345 [hep-ph]], H. Ishimori, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **121**, 769 (2009) [arXiv:0812.5031 [hep-ph]], F. Bazzocchi, L. Merlo and S. Morisi, Nucl. Phys. B **816** (2009) 204 [arXiv:0901.2086 [hep-ph]], F. Bazzocchi, L. Merlo and S. Morisi, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 053003 [arXiv:0902.2849 [hep-ph]], G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio and L. Merlo, JHEP **0905** (2009) 020 [arXiv:0903.1940 [hep-ph]], W. Grimus, L. Lavoura and P. O. Ludl, J. Phys. G **36**, 115007 (2009) [arXiv:0906.2689 [hep-ph]], G. J. Ding, Nucl. Phys. B **827**, 82 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2210 [hep-ph]], B. Dutta, Y. Mimura and R. N. Mohapatra, arXiv:0911.2242 [hep-ph], D. Meloni, J. Phys. G **37**, 055201 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3591 [hep-ph]], G. J. Ding and J. F. Liu, JHEP **1005**, 029 (2010) [arXiv:0911.4799 [hep-ph]], S. Morisi and E. Peinado, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 085015 (2010) [arXiv:1001.2265 [hep-ph]], C. Hagedorn, S. F. King and C. Luhn, arXiv:1003.4249 [hep-ph], Y. H. Ahn, S. K. Kang, C. S. Kim and T. P. Nguyen, arXiv:1004.3469 [hep-ph], H. Ishimori, K. Saga, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, arXiv:1004.5004 [hep-ph].
- [7] E.Ma and G.Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 113012 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106291], K.S. Babu, E. Ma and J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Lett. **B552** (2003) 207 [arXiv:hep-ph/0206292], G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Nucl. Phys. **B741** (2006) 215 [arXiv:hep-ph/0512103], X.G. He, Y.Y. Keum and R.R. Volkas, JHEP**0604** (2006) 039 [arXiv:hep-ph/0601001], M. Honda and M. Tanimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **119** (2008) 583 [arXiv:0801.0181 [hep-ph]].
- [8] A. Aranda, C.D. Carone and R.F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 016009 [arXiv:hep-ph/0002044], P.H. Frampton and T.W. Kephart, JHEP**0709** (2007) 110 [arXiv:0706.1186 [hep-ph]], S. Sen, Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 115020 [arXiv:0710.2734 [hep-ph]], G.J. Ding, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 036011 [arXiv:0803.2278 [hep-ph]], M.C. Chen, K.T. Mahanthappa and F. Yu, arXiv:0907.3963 [hep-ph], F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Y. Lin and L. Merlo, Nucl. Phys. B **775** (2007) 120 [arXiv:hep-ph/0702194].
- [9] I.de Medeiros Varzielas, S.F. King and G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. **B648** (2007) 201 [arXiv:hep-ph/0607045], E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A21** (2006) 1917 [arXiv:hep-ph/0607056].
- [10] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, JHEP **0904** (2009) 011 [arXiv:0811.4683 [hep-ph]], H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto, arXiv:0907.2006 [hep-ph].
- [11] J. Kubo, Phys. Lett. **B578** (2004) 156.
- [12] J. Kubo, H. Okada and F. Sakamaki, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 036007 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0402089].
- [13] Z. Xing, H. Zhang and Z. Zhou, [arXiv:0712.1419[hep-ph]].
- [14] Particle Data Group Phys. Lett. **B667** (2008) 1.